UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,116	07/28/2003	Peter Mardilovich	200309593-1	5931
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration 3404 E. Harmony Road Mail Stop 35 FORT COLLINS, CO 80528			EXAMINER	
			LEE, CYNTHIA K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/14/2009	ELECTRONIC

### Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM ipa.mail@hp.com jessica.l.fusek@hp.com

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_

## BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

# Ex PETER MARDILOVICH, GREGORY S. HERMAN, DAVID CHAMPION and JAMES O'NEIL

Application 10/629,116 Technology Center 1700

Mailed: September 14, 2009

\_\_\_\_\_

Before JOYCE GUNTER-RILEY, Review Paralegal. GUNTER-RILEY, Review Paralegal.

#### ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on August 31, 2009. A review of the application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner to address the following matter(s) requiring attention prior to docketing.

### **EXAMINER'S CONSIDERATION OF REPLY BRIEF**

A Reply Brief was filed on August 11, 2009, in response to the Examiner's Answer mailed February 24, 2009.

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §41.43 states:

(a)(1)... the primary examiner must acknowledge receipt and entry of the reply brief. In addition, the primary examiner may withdraw the final rejection and reopen prosecution or may furnish a supplemental examiner's answer responding to any new issue raised in the reply brief.

The Communication mailed August 11, 2009, was an <u>improper</u> acknowledgment of the Reply Brief, as it constitutes a Supplemental Examiner's Answer as per MPEP§ 1208, part II. A Supplemental Examiner's Answers requires a Director or designees approval.

### **CONCLUSION**

Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner for further consideration.

- 1) vacate the Communication mailed August 11, 2009;
- 2) generate and mail either:

- a) a revised Communication properly acknowledging to the Reply Brief dated August 11, 2009 in accordance with MPEP§ 1208, part II.; OR
- b) issue a Supplemental Examiner's Answer with the required signature (Technology Center Director or designee), if appropriate;

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797

/jgr/

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY

Intellectual Property Administration

3404 E. Harmony Road

Mail Stop 35

FORT COLLINS CO 80528